

## FINITE SETS

As an application of Theorem 8 from yesterday's sheet, we consider the type of finite sets,

$$\text{FinSet} := \sum_{(X:\mathcal{U})} \exists_{(n:\mathbb{N})} (X \simeq \text{Fin } n) \equiv \sum_{(X:\mathcal{U})} \|\sum_{(n:\mathbb{N})} X \simeq \text{Fin } n\|.$$

Since having decidable equality is a proposition, and each  $\text{Fin } n$  has decidable equality, so does each finite set.

**Theorem 1.** *There is a function  $\text{card} : \text{FinSet} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  that is a retraction of  $\text{Fin} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{FinSet}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $X : \text{FinSet}$ . We know that there merely exists  $n : \mathbb{N}$  and an equivalence  $X \simeq \text{Fin } n$ , so it suffices to show that the first projection  $\text{pr}_1 : \sum_{(n:\mathbb{N})} (X \simeq \text{Fin } n) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is weakly constant. But this follows from trichotomy of the order on  $\mathbb{N}$  together with the pigeonhole principle.  $\square$

We also conclude that  $\text{FinSet}$  is equivalent to the type  $\sum_{(X:\mathcal{U})} \sum_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \|X \simeq \text{Fin } n\|$ , where the cardinality  $n$  is outside the truncation.

Note that the replacement principle implies that  $\text{FinSet}$  is essentially small, as the image of  $\text{Fin} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ . So the replacement principle is incompatible with models where types in the  $i$ th universe are  $i$ -truncated.

## QUOTIENTS OF EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

Suppose  $A : \mathcal{U}$  is a type with an equivalence relation  $R : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Prop}_{\mathcal{U}}$  (a proposition-valued relation that is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive). We now show that propositional truncation, together with the replacement principle, allows us to construct the *quotient set*  $A/R : \text{Set}_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Given  $A$  and  $R$  as above, there is a small set  $A/R : \text{Set}_{\mathcal{U}}$  with a surjection  $q_R : A \rightarrow A/R$  such that*

- (1)  $(q_R x = q_R x') \simeq (R x x')$  for all  $x, x' : A$ ;
- (2) for any set  $B$ , a function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  factors uniquely through  $q_R$  if  $f x = f x'$  for all  $x, x' : A$  with  $R x x'$ .

*Proof.* Take  $A/R$  to be a small type equivalent to the image of the map  $[-]_R : A \rightarrow \text{Prop}_{\mathcal{U}}^A$ , where  $[x]_R y := R x y$ . (The image is essentially small since  $A$  is assumed to be small, and  $\text{Prop}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is locally small.)

(1) is a special case of the fact that for any  $P : \text{Prop}_{\mathcal{U}}^A$ , we have  $([x]_R = P) \simeq P x$ . This uses the fact that  $R$  is an equivalence relation.

(2) Uniqueness: If  $g, h$  are extensions of  $f$  through  $q_R$ , then for any  $z : A/R$ , the type  $g z = h z$  is a proposition since  $B$  is a set, so we may assume  $z \equiv q_R x$  for some  $x : A$ . Then  $g(q_R x) = f x = h(q_R x)$ , as desired.

Existence: Let  $z : A/R$ . To define the image of  $z$  in  $B$  it suffices to give a weakly constant map  $\sum_{(x:A)} (z = q_R x) \rightarrow B$ , and  $f \circ \text{pr}_1$  does the trick.  $\square$

For another proof, see Theorem 24.2.3 in the notes.

## SET TRUNCATION

As an example of a set quotient, we can consider the *set truncation* of a type  $A : \mathcal{U}$ . This is a set  $\|A\|_0$  with a map  $\eta : A \rightarrow \|A\|_0$  satisfying the analogous properties of the propositional truncation  $\|A\|_{-1} := \|A\|$ . It is the set quotient of  $A$  with respect to the relation  $\|x = y\|$  for  $x, y : A$ .

We say that a type  $A$  is *connected* if  $\|A\|_0$  is contractible.

## POSET AND CATEGORY COMPLETION

As a variation of the above, we can consider a pre-ordered set  $(A, \leq)$ , which we recall is a pre-category with hom-propositions  $x \leq y$ . Here, isomorphism corresponds to the equivalence relation  $Rxy := (x \leq y \wedge y \leq x)$ , and we can use the set quotient to give the *poset completion*, a poset  $\bar{A}$  with a monotone map  $A \rightarrow \bar{A}$  such that for every poset  $B$ , we precomposition map  $\text{Fun}(\bar{A}, B) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(A, B)$  is an equivalence.

In this case, however, there is another image that does the trick, namely the image of the Yoneda embedding  $A \rightarrow_{\text{Fun}} \text{Fun}(A^{\text{op}}, \text{Prop}_{\mathcal{U}})$ . We state this for general pre-categories, but first we need:

**Definition 3.** A functor  $F : A \rightarrow B$  of precategories is *essentially surjective on objects* if for all  $y : B$  there *merely* exists  $x : A$  with  $Fx \cong y$ . We say that  $F$  is a *weak equivalence* if it is fully faithful and essentially surjective on objects.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $A$  be a small pre-category. Then there is a small category  $\bar{A}$  together with a weak equivalence  $\eta : A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the Yoneda functor from  $A$  to  $\text{Fun}(A^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}_{\mathcal{U}})$ . The underlying map on objects is a map from a small type to a locally small type, and we take the objects of  $\bar{A}$  to be the small type equivalent to the image. As a category,  $\bar{A}$  is then defined to be the full subcategory of  $\text{Fun}(A^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}_{\mathcal{U}})$ .  $\square$

(This operation is known as *Rezk completion* in the HoTT book.)

## ALL GROUPS ARE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

As an application, fix a group  $G$ , and consider the precategory with  $\mathbb{1}$  for objects, and  $\text{hom}(*, *) := G$ , using the group operation as composition. Let  $BG$  be the (type of objects) of the corresponding category. (This category is a groupoid so no information is lost by just looking at the underlying 1-type/groupoid.)

It follows that there is an object  $*$  :  $BG$ , that  $BG$  is a connected 1-type, and we have a group isomorphism  $(* =_{BG} *) \simeq G$ . That is, we've exhibited  $G$  as the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}_{BG}(*)$ .  $BG$  is known as the *classifying type* of  $G$ , and also as the Eilenberg-MacLane type  $K(G, 1)$ .

As a concrete example, consider the cyclic group  $C_n$ . Unraveling the above definition, we see that  $BC_n$  is equivalent to the type

$$\sum_{(X:\mathcal{U})} \sum_{(f:X \rightarrow X)} \|(X, f) = (\text{Fin } n, s)\|,$$

where  $s : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Fin } n$  is the cyclic successor operation. So we can identify the elements of  $BC_n$  with *cyclically ordered  $n$ -element sets*.

Later, we'll show that the type of groups is equivalent to the type of pointed, connected 1-types.

## $n$ -TRUNCATIONS

We briefly give here the definition of the higher truncations. We'll verify the universal property later when we study them in depth. The  $n$ -truncation of  $A : \mathcal{U}$  is the type  $\|A\|_n : \mathcal{U}^{\leq n}$  defined by recursion on  $n \geq -2$ , where  $\|A\|_{-2} := \mathbb{1}$ ,  $\|A\|_{-1} := \|A\|$  (the propositional truncation), and for  $k \geq -1$ ,  $\|A\|_{k+1}$  is the small image of the map  $\lambda x. \lambda y. \|x = y\|_k : A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\leq k})$ .

## EXERCISES

- Prove that a functor  $F : A \rightarrow B$  between categories is an equivalence if and only if it is a weak equivalence.
- (Ex. 24.2) Show that the set truncation of a loop space is a group.